

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,978	07/10/2003	Kazuhisa Murata	614.1963D	1261
21171 75	590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			PAYNE, DAVID C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2638	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/615,978	MURATA, KAZUHISA		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	·	David C. Payne	2638		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 January 2005</u> .				
2a)□	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 4,7,8 and 12-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 4,7,8,19 and 20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2005</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	•		
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	ıt(s)				
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	atent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 12-18 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Campana, Jr. et al. US 5745532 A. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 3. Claims 4, 7, 8, 19 and 20 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campana, Jr. et al. US 5745532 A (Campana).

Re claims 12-18, Campana disclosed

an apparatus that utilizes a time offset protocol which modulates a subcarrier to produce first and second encoded parallel information streams each comprising preferably identical information to be atmospherically transmitted which are separated by a time delay interval equal to or greater in length than a time duration of atmospheric fading. First and second encoded information streams which are time offset by the time delay interval and which are preferably identical modulate the subcarrier to

Application/Control Number: 10/615,978

Art Unit: 2638

produce the first and second parallel information streams that respectively contain the first and second encoded information streams. The first and second parallel information streams are identical when the first and second encoded message streams modulating the subcarrier are identical. An error marker is placed within each of the frames of the first and second parallel information streams which have at least one erroneous uncorrectable bit after processing of the bits of the frame with the error correction code to mark each frame within the faded information requiring replacement. Each error marker within at least one of the first and second parallel information streams is replaced with replacement bits from a frame within one of the first and second parallel information streams which is time offset at transmission by the time delay interval to produce error free wireless transmission of information. Even if the first and second parallel information streams are not identical which prevents reconstruction of error free information, the probability of error is lessened because synchronization is not lost and, furthermore, the transmission rate of information is increased when compared to the prior art. Transmission of identical parallel information streams modulating the subcarrier with a time offset greater than statistically probable fade durations provides a high probability that the receiving circuitry is able to process the transmitted information transmitted at a high rate to eliminate erroneous information caused by fades while using reduced radiated power, see e.g., col./lines: 3/5-55.

Furthermore, the invention is able to reduce the number of bits of error correction code that are present in each transmitted frame of the first and second parallel information streams. The replacement of erroneous information units by processing of the decoded first and second parallel information streams can correct errors caused by fades which otherwise would be corrected by processing with an error correction routine using additional error correction code bits within the frames of the first and second parallel information streams. Therefore, a higher throughput of bits encoding information and a lower throughput of bits encoding error correction code is achieved. As a result, the information or data throughput of the system is increased by reducing error correction code overhead by permitting reduction of the number of error correction bits from those necessary to correct for two bit errors to those necessary to correct for one bit errors, see e.g., col./lines: 24/55-67,

Application/Control Number: 10/615,978

Art Unit: 2638

25/1-10. (148)

Campana does not disclose that the error correction bit are of a specified number as claimed.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that if

Page 4

the higher throughput of data bits and lower throughput of error correction bits would lead to a

condition of a lower error correction bit count as claimed. Furthermore, error correction scheme is

applicable to the optical medium as it is to RF since the encoding mechanism is independent of the

physical layer protocol.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (571) 272-3024. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dcp

David^{*}C. Payne Patent Examiner

ALI 2638